

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>Catherine Albanese

<ADDRESS1>PO Box 484

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>Pulaski

<STATE>NY

<ZIP>13142

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER> 315 298-9551

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>butfli35@aol.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

I only use my phone for emergencies when traveling. It is not my primary phone...

I don't make more than 50 long distance calls all year. that is why I buy the pre paid card for making phone calls.. I would pay much more in charges. Do not make it a flat rate. Why not charge the ones who use it the most on a usage rate, rather than the flat rate.. Maybe it would stop people from talking on their cell phones while driving. or those who I see in the grocery stores, with the phone stuck to their ear, just gossiping while the walk around in the store. Most annoying.

Sincerely Catherine Albanese PO Box 484 Pulaski NY 13142-9484

Sincerely,

Catherine Albanese

PO Box 484

Pulaski, New York 13142-0484